

CONTENTS

COVER SHEET iii

NOTATION xxv

ENGLISH/METRIC AND METRIC/ENGLISH EQUIVALENTS xxx

SUMMARY S-1

S.1 INTRODUCTION S-1

 S.1.1 Background Information..... S-1

 S.1.1.1 Creation of USEC..... S-5

 S.1.1.2 Growing Concern over the DUF₆ Inventory S-6

 S.1.1.3 Programmatic NEPA Review and Congressional Interest S-7

 S.1.1.4 DOE Request for Contractor Proposals and
 Site-Specific NEPA Review..... S-8

 S.1.1.5 Public Law 107-206 Passed by Congress..... S-9

 S.1.1.6 Characteristics of DUF₆ S-10

 S.1.2 Purpose and Need S-11

 S.1.3 Proposed Action..... S-11

 S.1.4 Scope..... S-12

 S.1.5 Public Review of the Draft EIS S-12

 S.1.6 Relationship to Other NEPA Reviews..... S-13

 S.1.7 Organization of This Environmental Impact Statement S-14

S.2 Alternatives..... S-14

 S.2.1 No Action Alternative..... S-14

 S.2.2 Proposed Action Alternatives S-16

 S.2.2.1 Alternative Location A (Preferred Alternative) S-17

 S.2.2.2 Alternative Location B S-19

 S.2.2.3 Alternative Location C S-19

 S.2.2.4 Conversion Process Description..... S-19

 S.2.2.5 Preparation and Transportation of ETTP
 Cylinders to Portsmouth..... S-22

 S.2.2.6 Construction of a New Cylinder Storage Yard
 at Portsmouth..... S-24

 S.2.2.7 Option of Shipping ETTP Cylinders to Paducah S-24

 S.2.2.8 Option of Expanding Conversion Facility Operations S-26

 S.2.3 Alternatives Considered but Not Analyzed in Detail S-27

 S.2.3.1 Use of Commercial Conversion Capacity S-27

 S.2.3.2 Sites Other Than Portsmouth S-27

 S.2.3.3 Alternative Conversion Processes S-27

 S.2.3.4 Long-Term Storage and Disposal Alternatives S-27

 S.2.3.5 Other Transportation Modes S-28

 S.2.3.6 One Conversion Plant for Two Sites S-28

CONTENTS (Cont.)

S.3	Affected Environment	S-28
S.4	Environmental Impact Assessment Approach, Assumptions, and Methodology	S-29
S.5	Consequences and Comparison of Alternatives	S-32
S.5.1	Human Health and Safety — Construction and Normal Facility Operations	S-32
S.5.2	Human Health and Safety — Facility Accidents	S-33
S.5.2.1	Physical Hazards.....	S-33
S.5.2.2	Facility Accidents Involving Radiation or Chemical Releases.....	S-33
S.5.3	Human Health and Safety — Transportation.....	S-37
S.5.4	Air Quality and Noise	S-41
S.5.5	Water and Soil.....	S-42
S.5.6	Socioeconomics.....	S-42
S.5.7	Ecology.....	S-43
S.5.8	Waste Management	S-43
S.5.9	Resource Requirements.....	S-44
S.5.10	Land Use	S-45
S.5.11	Cultural Resources	S-45
S.5.12	Environmental Justice	S-45
S.5.13	Impacts from Cylinder Preparation at ETTP.....	S-45
S.5.14	Impacts Associated with Conversion Product Sale and Use.....	S-46
S.5.15	Impacts from D&D Activities	S-47
S.5.16	Cumulative Impacts.....	S-47
S.5.17	Mitigation.....	S-49
S.5.18	Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	S-51
S.5.19	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitment of Resources	S-51
S.5.20	Relationship between Short-Term Use of the Environment and Long-Term Productivity.....	S-51
S.5.21	Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization	S-52
S.5.22	Potential Impacts Associated with the Option of Expanding Conversion Facility Operations.....	S-52
S.6	Environmental and Occupational Safety and Health Permits and Compliance Requirements	S-53
S.7	Preferred Alternative	S-54
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	Background Information.....	1-2
1.1.1	Creation of USEC.....	1-3
1.1.2	Growing Concern over the DUF ₆ Inventory	1-4
1.1.3	Programmatic NEPA Review and Congressional Interest	1-6

CONTENTS (Cont.)

1.1.4	DOE Request for Contractor Proposals and Site-Specific NEPA Review.....	1-7
1.1.5	Public Law 107-206 Passed by Congress.....	1-8
1.2	Characteristics of DUF ₆	1-9
1.2.1	Cylinder Inventory.....	1-10
1.2.2	Cylinder Condition and Potential Contamination	1-12
1.3	Purpose and Need.....	1-13
1.4	Proposed Action.....	1-13
1.5	DOE DUF ₆ Management Program.....	1-14
1.6	Scope.....	1-15
1.6.1	Public Scoping Process for This Environmental Impact Statement	1-16
1.6.2	Scope of This Environmental Impact Statement	1-18
1.6.2.1	Alternatives	1-18
1.6.2.2	Depleted Uranium Conversion Technologies and Products.....	1-19
1.6.2.3	Transportation Modes.....	1-19
1.6.2.4	Conversion Product Disposition.....	1-20
1.6.2.5	Human Health and Environmental Issues	1-21
1.6.3	Public Review of the Draft EIS	1-22
1.7	Relationship to Other NEPA Reviews.....	1-25
1.8	Other Documents and Studies Related to DUF ₆ Management and Conversion Activities	1-29
1.9	Organization of This Environmental Impact Statement	1-32
2	DESCRIPTION AND COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1	No Action Alternative.....	2-1
2.2	Proposed Action.....	2-4
2.2.1	Action Alternatives	2-6
2.2.1.1	Alternative Location A (Preferred Alternative).....	2-6
2.2.1.2	Alternative Location B	2-8
2.2.1.3	Alternative Location C	2-8
2.2.2	Conversion Process Description.....	2-8
2.2.2.1	Cylinder Transfer System.....	2-9
2.2.2.2	Vaporization System.....	2-13
2.2.2.3	Conversion System.....	2-13
2.2.2.4	Depleted Uranium Conversion Product Handling System	2-14
2.2.2.5	HF Recovery System	2-14
2.2.2.6	Emptied Cylinder Processing	2-15
2.2.2.7	Management of Potential Transuranic and PCB Contamination	2-15
2.2.3	Conversion Product Disposition	2-17
2.2.4	Preparation and Transportation of ETTP Cylinders.....	2-19
2.2.5	Construction of a New Cylinder Storage Yard at Portsmouth.....	2-21
2.2.6	Option of Shipping ETTP Cylinders to Paducah	2-23

CONTENTS (Cont.)

2.2.7	Option of Expanding Conversion Facility Operations	2-23
2.3	Alternatives Considered but Not Analyzed in Detail	2-25
2.3.1	Utilization of Commercial Conversion Capacity	2-25
2.3.2	Other Sites	2-26
2.3.3	Other Conversion Technologies	2-26
2.3.4	Long-Term Storage and Disposal Alternatives	2-27
2.3.5	Other Transportation Modes	2-27
2.3.6	One Conversion Plant Alternative	2-28
2.4	Comparison of Alternatives	2-28
2.4.1	General	2-28
2.4.2	Summary and Comparison of Potential Environmental Impacts	2-29
2.4.2.1	Human Health and Safety — Construction and Normal Facility Operations	2-30
2.4.2.2	Human Health and Safety — Facility Accidents	2-31
2.4.2.3	Human Health and Safety — Transportation	2-35
2.4.2.4	Air Quality and Noise	2-38
2.4.2.5	Water and Soil	2-39
2.4.2.6	Socioeconomics	2-39
2.4.2.7	Ecology	2-40
2.4.2.8	Waste Management	2-41
2.4.2.9	Resource Requirements	2-42
2.4.2.10	Land Use	2-42
2.4.2.11	Cultural Resources	2-42
2.4.2.12	Environmental Justice	2-42
2.4.2.13	Impacts from Cylinder Preparation at ETTP	2-43
2.4.2.14	Impacts Associated with Conversion Product Sale and Use	2-43
2.4.2.15	Impacts from D&D Activities	2-44
2.4.2.16	Cumulative Impacts	2-44
2.4.2.17	Potential Impacts Associated with the Option of Expanding Conversion Facility Operations	2-46
2.5	Preferred Alternative	2-48
3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	3-1
3.1	Portsmouth Site	3-1
3.1.1	Cylinder Yards	3-3
3.1.2	Site Infrastructure	3-3
3.1.3	Climate, Air Quality, and Noise	3-5
3.1.3.1	Climate	3-5
3.1.3.2	Existing Air Emissions	3-5
3.1.3.3	Air Quality	3-7
3.1.3.4	Existing Noise Environment	3-8

CONTENTS (Cont.)

3.1.4	Geology and Soil.....	3-11
3.1.4.1	Topography, Structure, and Seismic Risk.....	3-11
3.1.4.2	Soils.....	3-12
3.1.5	Water Resources.....	3-13
3.1.5.1	Surface Water.....	3-13
3.1.5.2	Groundwater.....	3-16
3.1.6	Biotic Resources.....	3-17
3.1.6.1	Vegetation.....	3-17
3.1.6.2	Wildlife.....	3-18
3.1.6.3	Wetlands.....	3-19
3.1.6.4	Threatened and Endangered Species.....	3-21
3.1.7	Public and Occupational Safety and Health.....	3-22
3.1.7.1	Radiation Environment.....	3-22
3.1.7.2	Chemical Environment.....	3-22
3.1.8	Socioeconomics.....	3-25
3.1.8.1	Population.....	3-25
3.1.8.2	Employment.....	3-25
3.1.8.3	Personal Income.....	3-27
3.1.8.4	Housing.....	3-30
3.1.8.5	Community Resources.....	3-31
3.1.9	Waste Management.....	3-31
3.1.9.1	Wastewater.....	3-33
3.1.9.2	Solid Nonhazardous, Nonradioactive Waste.....	3-34
3.1.9.3	Nonradioactive Hazardous and Toxic Waste.....	3-34
3.1.9.4	Low-Level Radioactive Waste.....	3-34
3.1.9.5	Low-Level Radioactive Mixed Waste.....	3-34
3.1.10	Land Use.....	3-34
3.1.11	Cultural Resources.....	3-36
3.1.12	Environmental Justice.....	3-37
3.1.12.1	Minority Populations.....	3-37
3.1.12.2	Low-Income Populations.....	3-37
3.2	East Tennessee Technology Park.....	3-39
3.2.1	Cylinder Yards.....	3-39
3.2.2	Site Infrastructure.....	3-42
3.2.3	Climate, Air Quality, and Noise.....	3-43
3.2.3.1	Climate.....	3-43
3.2.3.2	Existing Air Emissions.....	3-43
3.2.3.3	Air Quality.....	3-46
3.2.3.4	Existing Noise Environment.....	3-46
3.2.4	Geology and Soil.....	3-50
3.2.4.1	Topography, Structure, and Seismic Risk.....	3-50
3.2.4.2	Soils.....	3-51
3.2.5	Water Resources.....	3-52

CONTENTS (Cont.)

3.2.5.1	Surface Water	3-52
3.2.5.2	Groundwater	3-54
3.2.6	Biotic Resources.....	3-56
3.2.6.1	Vegetation.....	3-56
3.2.6.2	Wildlife.....	3-56
3.2.6.3	Wetlands	3-56
3.2.6.4	Threatened and Endangered Species	3-57
3.2.7	Public and Occupational Safety and Health.....	3-57
3.2.7.1	Radiation Environment.....	3-57
3.2.7.2	Chemical Environment.....	3-57
3.2.8	Socioeconomics.....	3-61
3.2.8.1	Population.....	3-61
3.2.8.2	Employment	3-62
3.2.8.3	Personal Income	3-64
3.2.8.4	Housing.....	3-65
3.2.8.5	Community Resources.....	3-66
3.2.9	Waste Management	3-68
3.2.9.1	Wastewater	3-69
3.2.9.2	Solid Nonhazardous, Nonradioactive Waste	3-69
3.2.9.3	Nonradioactive Hazardous and Toxic Waste	3-69
3.2.9.4	Low-Level Radioactive Waste	3-69
3.2.9.5	Low-Level Radioactive Mixed Waste.....	3-70
3.2.10	Land Use	3-70
3.2.11	Cultural Resources	3-72
3.2.12	Environmental Justice	3-73
3.2.12.1	Minority Populations	3-73
3.2.12.2	Low-Income Populations.....	3-73
4	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT APPROACH, ASSUMPTIONS, AND METHODOLOGY	4-1
4.1	General Approach.....	4-1
4.2	Major Assumptions and Parameters	4-2
4.3	Methodology.....	4-2
4.3.1	Overview of the Human Health Assessment.....	4-5
4.3.2	Radiation	4-5
4.3.2.1	Background Radiation	4-5
4.3.2.2	Radiation Doses and Health Effects	4-7
4.3.3	Chemicals.....	4-9
4.3.4	Accidents.....	4-10
4.3.4.1	Accident Consequences	4-10
4.3.4.2	Accident Frequencies	4-12
4.3.4.3	Accident Risk	4-12

CONTENTS (Cont.)

4.3.4.4	Physical Hazard Accidents	4-13
4.4	Uncertainty in Estimated Impacts.....	4-13
5	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF ALTERNATIVES	5-1
5.1	No Action Alternative.....	5-1
5.1.1	Introduction.....	5-1
5.1.1.1	Cylinder Maintenance Activities.....	5-2
5.1.1.2	Assumptions and Methods Used to Assess Impacts Associated with Cylinder Breaches.....	5-4
5.1.2	Impacts of No Action at the Portsmouth Site	5-6
5.1.2.1	Human Health and Safety.....	5-6
5.1.2.2	Transportation	5-14
5.1.2.3	Air Quality and Noise.....	5-14
5.1.2.4	Water and Soil.....	5-15
5.1.2.5	Socioeconomics.....	5-17
5.1.2.6	Ecology.....	5-17
5.1.2.7	Waste Management.....	5-18
5.1.2.8	Resource Requirements.....	5-18
5.1.2.9	Land Use.....	5-18
5.1.2.10	Cultural Resources	5-18
5.1.2.11	Environmental Justice	5-19
5.1.3	ETTP Site	5-19
5.1.3.1	Human Health and Safety.....	5-19
5.1.3.2	Transportation	5-27
5.1.3.3	Air Quality and Noise.....	5-27
5.1.3.4	Water and Soil.....	5-28
5.1.3.5	Socioeconomics.....	5-30
5.1.3.6	Ecology.....	5-30
5.1.3.7	Waste Management.....	5-31
5.1.3.8	Resource Requirements.....	5-31
5.1.3.9	Land Use.....	5-31
5.1.3.10	Cultural Resources	5-31
5.1.3.11	Environmental Justice	5-32
5.2	Proposed Action Alternatives	5-32
5.2.1	Portsmouth Site — Cylinder Storage Yard Construction Impacts.....	5-33
5.2.1.1	Human Health and Safety — Normal Construction Activities.....	5-33
5.2.1.2	Human Health and Safety — Accidents.....	5-34
5.2.1.3	Air Quality and Noise.....	5-34
5.2.1.4	Water and Soil.....	5-37
5.2.1.5	Socioeconomics.....	5-39
5.2.1.6	Ecology.....	5-39

CONTENTS (Cont.)

5.2.1.7	Waste Management	5-40
5.2.1.8	Resource Requirements	5-40
5.2.1.9	Land Use.....	5-40
5.2.1.10	Cultural Resources	5-41
5.2.1.11	Environmental Justice	5-41
5.2.2	Portsmouth Site — Conversion Facility Construction Impacts.....	5-42
5.2.2.1	Human Health and Safety — Normal Construction Activities.....	5-42
5.2.2.2	Human Health and Safety — Accidents.....	5-43
5.2.2.3	Air Quality and Noise.....	5-43
5.2.2.4	Water and Soil	5-48
5.2.2.5	Socioeconomics.....	5-49
5.2.2.6	Ecology.....	5-50
5.2.2.7	Waste Management	5-56
5.2.2.8	Resource Requirements.....	5-56
5.2.2.9	Land Use.....	5-57
5.2.2.10	Cultural Resources	5-58
5.2.2.11	Environmental Justice	5-59
5.2.3	Portsmouth Site — Operational Impacts	5-59
5.2.3.1	Human Health and Safety — Normal Facility Operations.....	5-59
5.2.3.2	Human Health and Safety — Facility Accidents	5-64
5.2.3.3	Air Quality and Noise.....	5-76
5.2.3.4	Water and Soil	5-82
5.2.3.5	Socioeconomics.....	5-84
5.2.3.6	Ecology.....	5-85
5.2.3.7	Waste Management	5-86
5.2.3.8	Resource Requirements.....	5-88
5.2.3.9	Land Use.....	5-88
5.2.3.10	Cultural Resources	5-89
5.2.3.11	Environmental Justice	5-90
5.2.4	Cylinder Preparation Impacts at ETTP.....	5-90
5.2.4.1	Cylinder Overpack Option	5-91
5.2.4.2	Cylinder Transfer Facility Option	5-92
5.2.5	Transportation.....	5-93
5.2.5.1	Collective Population Risk.....	5-94
5.2.5.2	Maximally Exposed Individuals during Routine Conditions.....	5-101
5.2.5.3	Accident Consequence Assessment	5-102
5.2.5.4	Historical Safety Record of Anhydrous NH ₃ and HF Transportation in the United States.....	5-110
5.2.6	Impacts Associated with HF and CaF ₂ Conversion Product Sale and Use	5-111

CONTENTS (Cont.)

5.2.7 Impacts If ETTP Cylinders Are Shipped to Paducah Rather Than to Portsmouth 5-112

5.2.8 Potential Impacts Associated with the Option of Expanding Conversion Facility Operations 5-113

 5.2.8.1 Potential Impacts Associated with Increasing Plant Throughput..... 5-113

 5.2.8.2 Potential Impacts Associated with Extending the Plant Operational Period 5-120

 5.2.8.3 Potential Impacts Associated with Possible Future Paducah-to-Portsmouth Cylinder Shipments 5-120

5.3 Cumulative Impacts 5-121

 5.3.1 Issues and Assumptions..... 5-121

 5.3.2 Portsmouth Site..... 5-125

 5.3.2.1 Radiological Releases — Normal Operations..... 5-126

 5.3.2.2 Accidental Releases — Radiological and Chemical Materials..... 5-126

 5.3.2.3 Transportation 5-127

 5.3.2.4 Chemical Exposure — Normal Operations..... 5-127

 5.3.2.5 Air Quality..... 5-127

 5.3.2.6 Noise..... 5-127

 5.3.2.7 Water and Soil..... 5-128

 5.3.2.8 Ecology..... 5-128

 5.3.2.9 Land Use..... 5-129

 5.3.2.10 Cultural Resources 5-129

 5.3.2.11 Environmental Justice 5-129

 5.3.2.12 Socioeconomics 5-129

 5.3.3 ETTP Site 5-129

5.4 Mitigation 5-130

5.5 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts 5-133

5.6 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources 5-134

 5.6.1 Land..... 5-134

 5.6.2 Materials 5-134

 5.6.3 Energy..... 5-135

5.7 Relationship between Short-Term Use of the Environment and Long-Term Productivity 5-136

5.8 Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization..... 5-136

5.9 Decontamination and Decommissioning of the Conversion Facility..... 5-138

 5.9.1 Human Health and Safety — Off-Site Public..... 5-138

 5.9.2 Human Health and Safety — On-Site Workforce 5-139

 5.9.3 Air Quality..... 5-140

 5.9.4 Socioeconomics 5-141

 5.9.5 Waste Management 5-141

CONTENTS (Cont.)

6	ENVIRONMENTAL AND OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH PERMITS AND COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS	6-1
6.1	DUF ₆ Cylinder Management and Construction and Operation of a DUF ₆ Conversion Facility	6-1
6.2	Transportation of UF ₆	6-1
6.3	Worker Safety and Health	6-3
7	REFERENCES	7-1
8	LIST OF PREPARERS	8-1
9	GLOSSARY	9-1
10	INDEX.....	10-1
	APPENDIX A: Text of Public Law 107-206 Pertinent to the Management of DUF ₆	A-1
	APPENDIX B: Issues Associated with DUF ₆ Cylinder Contamination	B-1
	APPENDIX C: Scoping Summary Report for Depleted Uranium Hexafluoride Conversion Facilities Environmental Impact Statement Scoping Process	C-1
	APPENDIX D: Environmental Synopsis for the Depleted UF ₆ Conversion Project	D-1
	APPENDIX E: Impacts Associated with HF and CaF ₂ Conversion Product Sale and Use	E-1
	APPENDIX F: Assessment Methodologies	F-1
	APPENDIX G: Consultation Letters.....	G-1
	APPENDIX H: Contractor Disclosure Statement.....	H-1

FIGURES

S-1	Regional Map of the Portsmouth, Ohio, Site Vicinity.....	S-2
S-2	Storage of DUF ₆ Cylinders.....	S-4

FIGURES (Cont.)

S-3	Three Alternative Conversion Facility Locations within the Portsmouth Site, with Location A Being the Preferred Alternative	S-18
S-4	Conceptual Overall Material Flow Diagram for the Portsmouth Conversion Facility	S-20
S-5	Conceptual Conversion Facility Site Layout for Portsmouth	S-21
S-6	Potential Locations for Construction of a New Cylinder Storage Yard at Portsmouth	S-25
S-7	Areas of Potential Impact Evaluated for Each Alternative	S-30
1-1	DUF ₆ Storage Locations	1-2
1.1-1	Storage of DUF ₆ Cylinders.	1-4
2.2-1	Three Alternative Conversion Facility Locations within the Portsmouth Site, with Location A Being the Preferred Alternative	2-7
2.2-2	Conceptual Overall Material Flow Diagram for the Portsmouth Conversion Facility.....	2-10
2.2-3	Conceptual Conversion Facility Site Layout for Portsmouth	2-11
2.2-4	Potential Locations for Construction of a New Cylinder Storage Yard at Portsmouth	2-22
3.1-1	Regional Map of the Portsmouth Site Vicinity	3-2
3.1-2	Locations of Cylinder Yards at the Portsmouth Site That Are Used to Store DOE-Managed Cylinders	3-4
3.1-3	Wind Rose for the Portsmouth Site, 1995–2001.....	3-6
3.1-4	Portsmouth Site Drainage Features.....	3-15
3.1-5	Wetlands in the Vicinity of the Three Candidate Locations for the Portsmouth Conversion Facility.....	3-20
3.1-6	Land Cover in Pike County, Ohio.....	3-35

FIGURES (Cont.)

3.1-7	Census Tracts within 50 mi of the Conversion Facility at the Portsmouth Site with Minority Populations in Excess of State-Specific Thresholds.....	3-38
3.1-8	Census Tracts within 50 mi of the Conversion Facility at the Portsmouth Site with Low-Income Populations in Excess of State-Specific Thresholds.....	3-40
3.2-1	Regional Map of the ETTP Vicinity	3-41
3.2-2	Locations of Storage Yards at ETTP That Are Used to Store DOE-Managed Cylinders	3-42
3.2-3	Wind Rose for the ETTP K1209 Meteorological Tower	3-44
3.2-4	Surface Water Features in the Vicinity of ETTP	3-53
3.2-5	Land Cover in Roane County, Tennessee	3-71
3.2-6	Census Tracts within 50 mi of the Storage Facility at ETTP with Minority Populations in Excess of State-Specific Thresholds.....	3-74
3.2-7	Census Tracts within 50 mi of the Storage Facility at ETTP with Low-Income Populations in Excess of State-Specific Thresholds.....	3-76
4.3-1	Areas of Potential Impact Evaluated for Each Alternative	4-4
5.2-1	Wetlands within Location A at the Portsmouth Site	5-54

TABLES

S-1	Inventory of DOE UF ₆ Cylinders Considered in This EIS.....	S-4
S-2	Summary of Alternatives Considered for the Portsmouth Conversion Facility EIS	S-15
S-3	Summary of Portsmouth Conversion Facility Parameters.....	S-22
S-4	Summary of Proposed Conversion Product Treatment and Disposition	S-23
S-5	Summary of Major EIS Data and Assumptions.....	S-31

TABLES (Cont.)

S-6	Summary Comparison of Potential Environmental Consequences of the Alternatives	S-55
1.1-1	Inventory of DOE UF ₆ Cylinders Considered in This EIS	1-11
2.1-1	Summary of Alternatives Considered	2-2
2.2-1	Summary of Portsmouth Conversion Facility Parameters	2-12
2.2-2	Summary of Proposed Conversion Product Treatment and Disposition.....	2-18
2.4-1	Summary Comparison of Potential Environmental Consequences of the Alternatives	2-49
3.1-1	DOE-Managed DUF ₆ Cylinders at the Portsmouth Site.....	3-3
3.1-2	Annual Criteria Pollutant and Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from USEC and DOE Sources at the Portsmouth Site in 2001.....	3-7
3.1-3	National Ambient Air Quality Standards, Ohio State Ambient Air Quality Standards, Maximum Allowable Increments for Prevention of Significant Deterioration, and Highest Background Levels Representative of the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant	3-9
3.1-4	Federal- and State-Listed Endangered, Potentially Threatened, and Special Concern Species near the Portsmouth Site.....	3-21
3.1-5	Estimated Radiation Doses to Members of the General Public and Cylinder Yard Workers at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant.....	3-23
3.1-6	Estimated Hazard Quotients for Members of the General Public near the Portsmouth Site under Existing Environmental Conditions.....	3-24
3.1-7	Population in the Portsmouth Region of Influence and Ohio in 1990, 2000, and 2003	3-26
3.1-8	Employment in Scioto County by Industry in 1990 and 2000.....	3-26
3.1-9	Employment in Pike County by Industry in 1990 and 2000.....	3-27
3.1-10	Employment in the Portsmouth Region of Influence by Industry in 1990 and 2000.....	3-28

TABLES (Cont.)

3.1-11 Unemployment Rates in Scioto and Pike Counties, the Portsmouth Region of Influence, and Ohio 3-29

3.1-12 Personal Income in Scioto and Pike Counties and the Portsmouth Region of Influence in 1990, 2000, and 2003 3-29

3.1-13 Housing Characteristics in the City of Portsmouth, Scioto and Pike Counties, and the Region of Influence in 1990 and 2000 3-30

3.1-14 Public Service Employment in the City of Portsmouth, Scioto and Pike Counties, and Ohio in 2002..... 3-31

3.1-15 Number of Physicians in Scioto and Pike Counties and Ohio in 1997 3-32

3.1-16 School District Data for Scioto and Pike Counties and Ohio in 2001 3-32

3.1-17 Medical Facility Data for Scioto and Pike Counties in 1998 3-32

3.1-18 Projected Waste Generation Volumes for the Portsmouth Site 3-33

3.2-1 DOE-Managed DUF₆ Cylinders at the ETTP Site..... 3-39

3.2-2 Annual Criteria Pollutant and Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Selected Major Point Sources around the ETTP Site in 1999..... 3-45

3.2-3 National Ambient Air Quality Standards, Tennessee State Ambient Air Quality Standards, Maximum Allowable Increments for Prevention of Significant Deterioration, and Highest Background Levels Representative of the ETTP Site 3-47

3.2-4 Additional Tennessee Ambient Air Quality Standards 3-49

3.2-5 Allowable Noise Level by Zoning District in Anderson County, Tennessee 3-49

3.2-6 Federal- and State-Listed Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern Species on ORR 3-58

3.2-7 Estimated Radiation Doses to Members of the General Public and Cylinder Yard Workers at ETTP 3-59

3.2-8 Estimated Hazard Quotients for Members of the Public near ETTP under Existing Environmental Conditions 3-60

TABLES (Cont.)

3.2-9 Population in the ETTP Region of Influence and Tennessee in 1990, 2000, and 2003 3-61

3.2-10 Employment in Knox County by Industry in 1990 and 2000 3-62

3.2-11 Employment in Anderson County by Industry in 1990 and 2000..... 3-63

3.2-12 Employment in the ETTP Region of Influence by Industry in 1990 and 2000 3-64

3.2-13 Unemployment Rate in the Knoxville Metropolitan Statistical Area and Tennessee..... 3-64

3.2-14 Personal Income in Knox and Anderson Counties and ETTP Region of Influence in 1990, 2000, and 2003 3-65

3.2-15 Housing Characteristics in the City of Knoxville, Knox and Anderson Counties, and ETTP Region of Influence in 1990 and 2000..... 3-66

3.2-16 Public Service Employment in the City of Knoxville, Region-of-Influence Counties, and Tennessee in 2001 3-67

3.2-17 Number of Physicians in Knox and Anderson Counties and Tennessee in 1997..... 3-67

3.2-18 School District Data for Knox and Anderson Counties and Tennessee in 2001..... 3-68

3.2-19 Medical Facility Data for Knox and Anderson Counties in 1998..... 3-68

3.2-20 Projected Waste Generation Volumes for ETTP 3-69

4.2-1 Summary of Major EIS Data and Assumptions 4-3

4.3-1 Key Features of Potential Human Exposures to Radiological, Chemical, and Physical Hazards 4-6

4.3-2 Comparison of Radiation Doses from Various Sources..... 4-8

5.1-1 No Action Alternative: Comparison of Frequencies Assumed in the PEIS with Planned Frequencies for Activities at the Portsmouth and ETTP Sites 5-3

5.1-2 No Action Alternative: Estimated Consequences of Chemical Exposures for Cylinder Accidents at the Portsmouth Site..... 5-11

TABLES (Cont.)

5.1-3	No Action Alternative: Estimated Consequences from Radiation Exposures for Cylinder Accidents at the Portsmouth Site.....	5-13
5.1-4	No Action Alternative: Estimated Consequences of Chemical Exposures from Cylinder Accidents at the ETTP Site.....	5-24
5.1-5	No Action Alternative: Estimated Consequences from Radiation Exposures for Cylinder Accidents at the ETTP Site.....	5-26
5.2-1	Potential Impacts to Human Health from Physical Hazards during Construction of an Additional Cylinder Storage Yard at the Portsmouth Site	5-34
5.2-2	Maximum Air Quality Impacts at the Construction Site Boundary Due to Emissions from Activities Associated with Construction of a New Cylinder Storage Yard at the Portsmouth Site.....	5-35
5.2-3	Materials/Resources Consumed during Construction of a Cylinder Storage Yard at the Portsmouth Site	5-40
5.2-4	Potential Impacts to Human Health from Physical Hazards during Conversion Facility Construction and Operations at the Portsmouth Site.....	5-44
5.2-5	Annual Criteria Pollutant and Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Construction of the Conversion Facility at the Portsmouth Site.....	5-45
5.2-6	Maximum Air Quality Impacts at the Construction Site Boundary Due to Emissions from Activities Associated with Construction of the Conversion Facility at the Portsmouth Site	5-46
5.2-7	Socioeconomic Impacts from Construction of the Conversion Facility at the Portsmouth Site	5-50
5.2.8	Wastes Generated from Construction Activities for the Conversion Facility at the Portsmouth Site	5-56
5.2-9	Materials/Resources Consumed during Construction of the Conversion Facility at the Portsmouth Site.....	5-57
5.2-10	Estimated Radiological Doses and Cancer Risks under Normal Conversion Facility Operations at the Portsmouth Site.....	5-62
5.2-11	Bounding Radiological Accidents Considered for Conversion Operations at the Portsmouth Site.....	5-65

TABLES (Cont.)

5.2-12	Estimated Radiological Doses per Accident Occurrence during Conversion at the Portsmouth Site	5-66
5.2-13	Estimated Radiological Health Risks per Accident Occurrence during Conversion at the Portsmouth Site	5-67
5.2-14	Bounding Chemical Accidents during Conversion Operations at the Portsmouth Site	5-71
5.2-15	Consequences of Chemical Accidents during Conversion at the Portsmouth Site: Number of Persons with the Potential for Adverse Effects	5-72
5.2-16	Consequences of Chemical Accidents during Conversion at the Portsmouth Site: Number of Persons with the Potential for Irreversible Adverse Effects	5-73
5.2-17	Annual Point Source Emissions of Criteria Pollutants, Volatile Organic Compounds, Uranium, and Fluoride from Operation of the Conversion Facility at the Portsmouth Site.....	5-77
5.2-18	Maximum Air Quality Impacts Due to Emissions from Activities Associated with Operation of the Conversion Facility at the Portsmouth Site	5-78
5.2-19	Socioeconomic Impacts from Operation of the Conversion Facility at the Portsmouth Site	5-84
5.2-20	Wastes Generated from Operation of the Conversion Facility at the Portsmouth Site	5-87
5.2-21	Materials Consumed Annually during Normal Conversion Facility Operations at the Portsmouth Site.....	5-88
5.2-22	Utilities Consumed during Conversion Facility Operations at the Portsmouth Site	5-89
5.2-23	Summary of Environmental Parameters for a Cylinder Transfer Facility at ETPP	5-92
5.2-24	ETPP UF ₆ Cylinder Shipments to Portsmouth	5-95

TABLES (Cont.)

5.2-25 Collective Population Transportation Risks for Shipment of Anhydrous NH₃ to the Portsmouth Conversion Facility..... 5-96

5.2-26 Collective Population Transportation Risks for Shipment of Conversion Products to Envirocare as the Primary Disposal Site, Assuming the U₃O₈ Is Disposed of in Bulk Bags..... 5-98

5.2-27 Collective Population Transportation Risks for Shipment of Conversion Products to NTS as an Optional Disposal Site, Assuming the U₃O₈ Is Disposed of in Bulk Bags..... 5-99

5.2-28 Collective Population Transportation Risks for Shipment of U₃O₈ Conversion Products in Emptied Cylinders 5-100

5.2-29 Collective Population Transportation Risks for Shipment of the HF Conversion Co-Product from the Portsmouth Site to Commercial Users..... 5-101

5.2-30 Collective Population Transportation Risks for Shipment of CaF₂ for the Neutralization Option 5-102

5.2-31 Estimated Radiological Impacts to the MEI from Routine Shipment of Radioactive Materials from the Portsmouth Conversion Facility..... 5-103

5.2-32 Potential Radiological Consequences to the Population from Severe Transportation Accidents 5-105

5.2-33 Potential Chemical Consequences to the Population from Severe Transportation Accidents 5-106

5.2-34 Potential Radiological Consequences to the MEI from Severe Transportation Accidents Involving Shipment of Radioactive Materials..... 5-109

5.2-35 Products from DUF₆ Conversion..... 5-111

5.2-36 Annual Transportation Impacts for the Shipment of DUF₆ Cylinders from Paducah to Portsmouth, Assuming 1,000 DUF₆ Cylinders Shipped per Year..... 5-121

5.3-1 Cumulative Impacts of DUF₆ Activities and Other Past, Present, or Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions at the Portsmouth Site 5-122

5.6-1 Materials/Resources Consumed during Conversion Facility Construction at the Portsmouth Site 5-135

TABLES (Cont.)

5.6-2	Materials Consumed Annually during Conversion Facility Operations at the Portsmouth Site	5-136
5.6-3	Utilities Consumed during Conversion Facility Operations at the Portsmouth Site	5-137
5.9-1	Estimated Latent Cancer Fatalities from Radiation Exposure Resulting from Conversion Facility D&D Activities at the Portsmouth Site	5-140
5.9-2	Annual and Total Waste Volume Estimates from Conversion Facility D&D Activities at the Portsmouth Site.....	5-142
6.1	Potentially Applicable Consents for the Construction and Operation of a DUF ₆ Conversion Facility	6-4
B-1	Bounding Concentrations of Dispersed Transuranic and Tc-99 Contamination in the DUF ₆ Full and Heels Cylinders	B-5
B-2	Maximum Total Quantities of Transuranics and Technetium in the DUF ₆ Inventory.....	B-6
B-3	Concentrations of Transuranic Constituents and Tc-99 in Depleted Uranium That Would Result in 10% Contribution to Dose.....	B-8
B-4	Radiological Parameters for Uranium, Transuranic, and Technetium Isotopes.....	B-10
B-5	Relative Contributions of Transuranic and Technetium Isotopes to Dose.....	B-10
B-6	Estimated Maximum Transuranic Radioactivity Concentration in Heels.....	B-13
B-7	Estimated Maximum Transuranic Activity Concentration in Converted Heels Material	B-13
B-8	Estimated Maximum Number of Drums Containing Potential Transuranic Waste.....	B-13
E-1	Products from DUF ₆ Conversion Assuming HF Acid Is Sold.....	E-4
E-2	Aqueous HF Levels for Sale	E-4
E-3	Activity Levels for Aqueous HF	E-5

TABLES (Cont.)

E-4	Activity Levels for CaF ₂	E-5
E-5	Process Control Specifications for HF	E-6
E-6	Process Control Specifications for Acid-Grade CaF ₂	E-6
F-1	Bounding Aqueous HF Spill Source Term	F-15
F-2	Anhydrous NH ₃ Tank Rupture Spill Parameters	F-16
F-3	Potential Shipments of Material Analyzed for the DUF ₆ Conversion EIS	F-22
F-4	Environmental Management Waste Generation Forecast for Fiscal Years 2002 through 2025	F-41